APPENDIX F

BATTLEFIELD DECEPTION ACTIVITIES CHART



NOTES

1 MUST HAVE AVAILABLE INTEL DATA AND OPERATIONAL INPUT BEFORE DECEPTION COURSES OF ACTION CAN BE DEVELOPED
  A DETERMINES COLLECTION SYSTEMS TO BE USED FOR FEEDBACK
  B INTELLIGENCE ORGANIZATION SYSTEM SUSCEPTIBLE TO DECEPTION SYSTEM TO BE NEUTRALIZED
2 DEVELOPS COURSES OF ACTION AGAINST THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA
  A APPROPRIATENESS WITHIN CAPABILITY
  B PLAUSIBILITY
  C ENEMY REACTION?
3 COURSES OF ACTION ANALYZED MUST SUPPORT COURSE OF ACTION BEING DEVELOPED BY THE G3
4 CONSIDERS DECEPTION TECHNIQUES
  VISUAL SONIC OLFACTORY ELECTRONIC

NOTES

1 DEVELOPS DECEPTION OBJECTIVE AND STORY AND MEANS TO PRESENT STORY
2 SUPPORTS COMMANDER S CONCEPT AND INTENT
3 COORDINATED WITH OPSEC EW AND CESO EFFORTS
4 DETERMINES HOW TO PHASE OUT PLAN TO DISGUISE THE FACT THAT DECEPTION WAS USED
  • CONTROL OVER TIMING SCHEDULE
  • EMPLOYMENT OF RESOURCES
  • OPSEC FSE FSCOORD EW AND SIGSEC INTEGRATION
  • IS IN LINE WITH FRIENDLY PATTERNS (PROFILES)
  • COORDINATES ASSIGNMENT OF RESPONSIBILITIES WITH G3
  • WITH OPSEC OFFICER DETERMINE ACTION OF THE SEPARATION AND DECEPTION OPERATION THAT MUST BE CONCEALED FROM THE ENEMY
  • WITH G2 DEVELOPS NEED TO KNOW LIST

Chart is continued on the following page.

BATTLEFIELD DECEPTION ACTIVITIES CHART (continued)



NOTE

A SEPARATE DECEPTION ANNEX IS NOT RECOMMEND DECEPTION TASKS AND OBJECTIVES SHOULD BE CAREFULLY INTEGRATED INTO APPROPRIATE PRIMARY STAFF ANNEXES APPENDIXES

- EVALUATION DECEPTION
- WAS DECEPTION EXECUTED AS PLANNED?
- WERE TECHNIQUES EFFECTIVE? WHY OR WHY NOT?
- REEVALUATE HOSTILE COLLECTION THREAT AND THE FRIENDLY UNIT PROFILE AND DETERMINE IF ALL FACTORS IMPACTING ON THE ORIGINAL DECEPTION PLAN STILL EXIST
- INCORPORATE THE ACTUAL ENEMY REACTION TO THE DECEPTION MEASURES UPON RECEIVING THE HOSTILE INTELLIGENCE COLLECTIVE DATA BASE (OBTAINED BY G2 CI)
- RECOMMENDED TO THE G3 CHANGES TO DECEPTION MEASURES UPON RECEIVII INFORMATION CONCERNING ENEMY REACTION TO DECEPTION MEASURES